# Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals Meeting Minutes of March 13, 2019

3 4 5

1

2

6 The meeting of the Village of Lansing Planning Board of Zoning Appeals was called to order at 7:07 PM by Chair, Lynn Leopold. 7

8

9 Present at the meeting were Board of Zoning Appeals Members: Chair, Lynn Leopold, Patrick Gillespie, 10 Roy Hogben, Simon Moll and John Wisor (arrived at 7:16); Village of Lansing Code Enforcement 11 Officer, Michael Scott; Village Attorney, William Troy; Additional people in attendance: Planning Board 12 Members Lisa Schleelein; George Turner of Saratoga Associates; John Snyder of John Snyder Architects; and Phil Maguire of Maguire Family Limited Partnership; and Anna Carberry of Triphammer Apartments.

15

Scott advised he had received the public mailings. 16

17

18 Leopold opened the meeting and read the proposal;

19

## 20 Public Hearings to Consider:

The Village of Lansing Planning Board is considering a proposed project by Maguire Nissan of Ithaca 21 22 (Special Permit #4242). The project will include development of 35 Cinema Drive (Tax Parcel # 46.-1-6-5.1) which is a 4 acre commercial property – consisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac dealership, and a presented new Nissan dealership. As the site plan has been presented, it will require the following 25 zoning appeals:

26

27 Leopold stated Appeal No. 2019-01 was out of the norm and asked Turner to explain the site and location 28 of the new dealership. Turner introduced John Snyder and advised Snyder would be taking over for Tom Schickel of Schickel Architecture. He stated Tim Maguire could not attend the meeting as he had prior 30 commitments. Turner stated they have been in front of the Planning Board since January with their proposal. He gave a brief overview of the Maguire Family Dealerships stating Maguire has been around for a few decades and represents different brands. He discussed his presentation and showed the proposed access and parking. He stated the existing gravel area has been modified for parking and designated spots for customers. Turner talked about the setbacks.

35

36 Wisor arrived at 7:16 pm.

37

38 Turner pointed out in the presentation the residential district being near Gaslight Village approximately 80 feet away. He explained the easements of Gaslight Village and the property lines, and stated they 40 would have two front yards being located on Uptown Road and Cinema Drive. He said displayed 41 vehicles would be up front and he talked about the 10 foot fence and how that would help provide buffering along the vegetated area. Turner said the primary access for customers would be from Uptown 43 Road and said they shifted the driveway approximately 9 feet to the east to accommodate for firetruck

45

46 Turner showed where the service area would be located and stated the signage would be adequate to direct customers where to go. He said they would focus on larger signs for the view from Rte. 13 and understood they may be over the Code allowance for signage, and would wait for the Board for guidance. 48

50 Turner said they made a site change and explained the grade of the building would be on approximately 5 feet of fill. He spoke of the stormwater and stated this development would be treated as a new green-site and talked about buffering.

53

54 Leopold expressed concern regarding the buffer referenced in Appeal No. 2901-01 and stated this is a 55 major change to the existing Zone and asked thoughts from the Board members. Moll talked about the 56 setbacks and buffering, and asked if anyone from Gaslight Village had concerns. Turner said there has 57 been no feedback from any adjacent neighbors. He said they held an informational presentation but, due 58 to the bad weather, there was very little turnout. Moll stated he attended the presentation and he feels that 59 if there were neighbors that had concerns they would have attended. He said he has asked people in that 60 area regarding this proposal and they did not have concerns. Hogben stated that he has not heard any concerns from the neighbors therefore he feels that adds positive weight. He said he has no problem approving this proposal.

63

64 Snyder said they would plant ivy to help cover the fence. Turner talked about the existing parking spaces, a blocked wall, and planting additional trees in the buffering area. He also talked about the lighting and the heights of the poles. Leopold said the lighting would not be what this Board would address as that would be addressed by the Lighting Commission.

68

66

67

69 Wisor talked about the buffering zone and expressed concern for making precedence for future building requests. Leopold agreed as this could become a concern where the Board could be challenged for future proposals with vacant property.

72

73 Leopold read through the resolutions;

74 75

Appeal No. 2019-01, 150 Foot Buffer Strip Setback: Proposed Distance is 80 Feet.

76 77 78

79

84

85 86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

# **VILLAGE OF LANSING BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS RESOLUTION** ADOPTED ON MARCH 13, 2019 FOR APPEAL NO. 2019-1

80	Motion made by:	Patrick Gillespie	
81			
82	Motion seconded by:	Roy Hogben	
83			

#### **WHEREAS**:

A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Appeal No. 2019-01, Maguire Family Limited Partnership of 504 South Meadow Street, Ithaca, New York, through its agent Saratoga Associates and George Turner, proposes to pursue a project for development of a 4-acre commercial property consisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac dealership and a new Nissan dealership to include construction of a two story, 25,235 sq. feet (gross floor area) sales and service building with associated parking, public water, sewer and electrical services, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, site lighting and signage, retaining wall, fence screening, landscaping and improvement to existing curb cuts for Maguire Nissan of Ithaca at Uptown Road and Cinema Drive; and proposes on this appeal as follows:

94 95 96

97

According to The Village Zoning requirements a building setback distance of 150 feet is required for the Residential Buffer Strip Setback to Gaslight Village-Residential District. However, the proposed site plan

provides a distance of 80 feet and therefore, a variance is requested for not providing the minimum required buffer strip setback a difference of 70 feet. Although the minimum buffer setback of 150 feet is not provided, a 10 foot tall visual privacy fence and proposed evergreen vegetative buffer has been provided to mitigate the visual impact to the surrounding neighborhood. (See submitted drawing sheet L300 and L500 for additional information regarding project layout and buffers strip setback.)

Section 145-43 E(10) of the Village of Lansing Code requires a minimum of 150 feet to an abutting residential property. The property is located at 35 Cinema Drive in the Commercial High Traffic District, Tax Parcel No. 46.1-6-5.1; and

B. On March 13, 2019, the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals held a public hearing regarding such action, and thereafter thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i) the materials and information presented by and on behalf of the applicant(s) in support of this appeal, (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board's deliberations; and

C. On February 26, 2019, in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR), and 6 NYCRR Section 617.5, the Village of Lansing Planning Board determined that the proposed action is an unlisted action, and thus may be processed without further regard to SEQR; and

D. On March 13, 2019, in accordance with Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1), the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals, in the course of its deliberations, took into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the area variance is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant;

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals hereby makes the following findings with respect to the specific criteria for such area variance as set forth in Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1):

Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or detriment to nearby properties will be created by granting the area variance.

Finding: No. There have been no objections from residents of adjacent apartments or businesses nearby even after the appellant publicized a meeting to hear from neighbors. There is another dealership nearby to the proposed building.

Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance.

Finding: There is no other means of accomplishing the proposed building without granting these variance applications.

Whether the requested area variance is substantial.

Finding: Yes

148		ether the proposed area variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or	
149	environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.		
150			
151	Finding: No		
152			
153	Wh	ether the alleged difficulty was self-created.	
154			
155	Find	ding: Yes	
156			
157	2.	It is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals that the following variance	
158		is <b>GRANTED AND APPROVED</b> (with conditions, if any, as indicated), it being further determined that	
159		such variance is the minimum necessary and adequate to grant relief and at the same time preserve	
160		and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community:	
161	Das	aviation of Maviance.	
162	<u>Des</u>	<u>cription of Variance</u> :	
163 164	To r	reduce a Residential Buffer Strip Setback from 150 feet to 80 feet.	
165	101	educe a Residential Bujjer Strip Setback from 150 feet to 80 feet.	
166	Con	ditions of Variance:	
167	<u>con</u>	attons of variance.	
168	The	re must be plantings and a fence with ivy on it to shield the adjacent apartment complexes to the	
169		sfaction of the Planning Board.	
170	Suci	special of the Fluithing Bourd.	
171			
172		e jer egerilg medien mae ae jeneme.	
173	AYES: Lynn I	Leopold, Patrick Gillespie, Roy Hogben, Simon Moll, and John Wisor	
174	The second of th		
175	NAYS: None		
176			
177	The motion v	was declared to be carried.	
178			
179	There was	conversation during the reading of Appeal No. 2019-1 regarding parking and setbacks. Wisor	
180			
181	separate as	they have different tools for the different types of vehicles. Turner said it would be a	
182	convenienc	e to have another dealership next to the existing one	
183			
184	Appeal No	. 2019-02, 75 Foot Front Yard Setback: Proposed Distance is 67 Feet.	
185		•	
186		VILLAGE OF LANSING BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS RESOLUTION ADOPTED ON	
187		MARCH 13, 2019 FOR APPEAL NO. 2019-2	
188		,	
189	Motion mad	e by: John Wisor	
190			
191	Motion seco	nded by: Simon Moll	
192			
193	WHEREAS:		
194			
195	A.	This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Appeal No. 2019-02, Maguire	
196		Family Limited Partnership of 504 South Meadow Street, Ithaca, New York, through its agent Saratoga	
197		Associates and George Turner, proposes to pursue a project for development of a 4-acre commercial	
198		property consisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac dealership and a new Nissan dealership to include	

construction of a two story, 25,235 sq. feet (gross floor area) sales and service building with associated parking, public water, sewer and electrical services, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, site lighting and signage, retaining wall, fence screening, landscaping and improvement to existing curb cuts for Maguire Nissan of Ithaca at Uptown Road and Cinema Drive; and proposes on this appeal as follows:

According to The Village Zoning requirements for Commercial High Traffic District, the building front yard setback required is 75 feet. However, the proposed site plan provides 67 feet. Therefore, a variance is requested for not meeting the setback requirement by a difference of 8 feet. Because the project is located in a commercial high traffic areas, and the difference between the required and provided front yard setback is minimal, the existing streetscape and character of the neighborhood will not be significantly impacted. (See sheet L300 for information and layout dimensions regarding the front yard setback.)

Section 145-43 E(4) of the Village of Lansing Code requires a minimum of 75 feet to the road right of way. The property is located at 35 Cinema Drive in the Commercial High Density Traffic District, Tax Parcel No. 46.1-6-5.1; and

B. On March 13, 2019, the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals held a public hearing regarding such action, and thereafter thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i) the materials and information presented by and on behalf of the applicant(s) in support of this appeal, (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board's deliberations; and

C. On February 26, 2019, in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR), and 6 NYCRR Section 617.5, the Village of Lansing Planning Board determined that the proposed action is an unlisted action, and thus may be processed without further regard to SEQR; and

D. On March 13, 2019, in accordance with Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1), the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals, in the course of its deliberations, took into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the area variance is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant;

### 234 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals hereby makes the following findings with respect to the specific criteria for such area variance as set forth in Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1):

Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or detriment to nearby properties will be created by granting the area variance.

Finding: No

Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance.

Finding: No

250	Whether the requested area variance is substantial.		
251	Fin	diam. Na	
252	Finding: No		
253			
254		nether the proposed area variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or	
255	env	vironmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.	
256			
257	Fin	ding: No	
258			
259	Wh	nether the alleged difficulty was self-created.	
260			
261	Fin	ding: Yes	
262			
263	2.	It is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals that the following variance	
264		is <b>GRANTED AND APPROVED</b> (with conditions, if any, as indicated), it being further determined that	
265		such variance is the minimum necessary and adequate to grant relief and at the same time preserve	
266		and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community:	
267			
268	<u>Des</u>	scription of Variance:	
269			
270	То	reduce a Front Yard Setback from 75 feet to 67 feet.	
271			
272	<u>Cor</u>	nditions of Variance:	
273			
274	No	ne	
275			
276	The vote on	the foregoing motion was as follows:	
277			
278	AYES: Lynn Leopold, Patrick Gillespie, Roy Hogben, Simon Moll, and John Wisor		
279			
280			
281			
282			
283			
284	Appeal No	o. 2019-03, 24 Foot 2-Way Traffic Width Needed for Drive Aisles within Parking Lots:	
285		22 Feet Service Area and Display Vehicle Drives	
286		The state of the s	
287		VILLAGE OF LANSING BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS RESOLUTION ADOPTED ON	
288		MARCH 13, 2019 FOR APPEAL NO. 2019-3	
		WIANCII 13, 2013 I ON AFFEAL NO. 2013-3	
289			
290			
291	Motion mad	de by: Simon Moll	
292			
293	Motion seco	onded by: John Wisor	
294			
295	WHEREAS:		
296			
297	A.	This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Appeal No. 2019-03, Maguire	
298		Family Limited Partnership of 504 South Meadow Street, Ithaca, New York, through its agent Saratoga	
299		Associates and George Turner, proposes to pursue a project for development of a 4-acre commercial	
300		property consisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac dealership and a new Nissan dealership to include	

301

302

303

construction of a two story, 25,235 sq. feet (gross floor area) sales and service building with associated parking, public water, sewer and electrical services, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, site lighting and signage, retaining wall, fence screening, landscaping and improvement to existing curb cuts for Maguire Nissan of Ithaca at Uptown Road and Cinema Drive; and proposes on this appeal as follows:

306 307

308

According to The Village Zoning requirements for off-street parking, a requirement of 24 feet for two-way traffic and 12 feet for one-way traffic is needed for drive aisles within parking lots. The proposed project layout provides a 22 foot drive aisle in front of the main facility for customer parking and access to the service drop-off area, and 22 310 feet for two-way traffic for drive aisles beyond the service area and for display parking. Therefore, a variance is 311 requested for the 22 feet two-way drive aisle width within the service and display parking lots.

312

313 Although the display and service area drive aisles do not meet the off street parking requirement criteria, the main 314 customer parking and service drop-off drive aisles meet this requirement. This variance will not significantly impact the community because the difference of the required and proposed drive lane width is minimal. (See sheet L300 for 316 drive lane widths and project layout.)

317

315

318 Section 145-51B of the Village of Lansing Code requires 24 feet for two-way traffic within a driving lane of parking lots. The property is located at 35 Cinema Drive in the Commercial High Density Traffic District, Tax Parcel No. 46.1-6-5.1; and

320 321 322

323

324

325

319

B. On March 13, 2019, the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals held a public hearing regarding such action, and thereafter thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i) the materials and information presented by and on behalf of the applicant(s) in support of this appeal, (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board's deliberations; and

326 327 328

329

330

C. On February 26, 2019, in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR), and 6 NYCRR Section 617.5, the Village of Lansing Planning Board determined that the proposed action is an unlisted action, and thus may be processed without further regard to SEQR; and

331 332 333

334

335

D. On March 13, 2019, in accordance with Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1), the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals, in the course of its deliberations, took into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the area variance is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant;

336 337 338

#### NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

339 340 341

342

The Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals hereby makes the following findings with respect to the specific criteria for such area variance as set forth in Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1):

343 344 345

Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or detriment to nearby properties will be created by granting the area variance.

346 347 348

Finding: No

349 350

351

Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance.

Finding: No

Whether the requested area variance is substantial.

Finding: No

Whether the proposed area variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

Finding: No

Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created.

Finding: Yes

2. It is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals that the following variance is **GRANTED AND APPROVED** (with conditions, if any, as indicated), it being further determined that such variance is the minimum necessary and adequate to grant relief and at the same time preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community:

#### **Description of Variance**:

To reduce Customer and Service Entrance Drive for Two-Way Traffic from 24 feet and 12 feet for one-way traffic is needed for Drive Aisles with parking lots. The proposed project layout provides a 22 foot drive aisle in front of the main facility for customer parking and access to the service drop-off area, and 22 feet for two-way traffic for drive aisles beyond the service area and for display parking. Therefore, a variance is requested for the 22 feet two-way drive aisle width within the service and display parking lots.

#### **Conditions of Variance**:

Ensure that access to all hydrants is protected at all times.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

388 AYES: Lynn Leopold, Patrick Gillespie, Roy Hogben, Simon Moll and John Wisor

390 NAYS: None

392 The motion was declared to be carried.

There was conversation during the reading of Appeal No. 2019-3 regarding the drive-outs for firetrucks being 24 feet where only 18 feet is required. Scott advised he would follow-up on the concern of emergency vehicle access. Leopold advised there have been complaints that the existing dealership parks vehicles too close to the fire hydrants. Turner said he would look into this and suggest a change.

Appeal No. 2019-04, Maximum Signage Area Allowed is 100 Square Feet: Proposed 611 Square 400 Feet.

VILLAGE OF LANSING BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS RESOLUTION

403			ADOPTED ON MARCH 13, 2019 FOR APPEAL NO. 2019-4
404			
405	Motion mo	ade by:	John Wisor
406 407	Motion se	conded by:	Patrick Gillespie
408	WIOLIOII SEC	condea by	rutitick diliespie
409	WHEREAS	:	
410			
411	А	. This matte	er involves consideration of the following proposed action: Appeal No. 2019-04, Maguire
412			ited Partnership of 504 South Meadow Street, Ithaca, New York, through its agent Saratoga
413		Associates	and George Turner, proposes to pursue a project for development of a 4-acre commercial
414		property c	onsisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac dealership and a new Nissan dealership to include
415		construction	on of a two story, 25,235 sq. feet (gross floor area) sales and service building with
416		associatea	parking, public water, sewer and electrical services, pedestrian and vehicular circulation,
417		_	g and signage, retaining wall, fence screening, landscaping and improvement to existing
418			for Maguire Nissan of Ithaca at Uptown Road and Cinema Drive; and proposes on this
419		appeal as	follows:
420			
421			he Village Zoning requirements for signage area for all signage on the project site is greater
422			of 100 square feet. A variance is requested for exceeding the maximum signage area
423	-	-	the project. (See Sheets L603 and L604 for information and details regarding proposed
424	SI	gnage, ana Si	gn Table on Sheet Gl00. Total proposed square foot area of signage: 611 square feet.
425 426	4	ccording to th	na zanina raquiramente: na mara than 2 additional Adverticina Sians, each na mara than 5
427			ne zoning requirements; no more than 2 additional Advertising Signs, each no more than 5 permitted. The project proposed more than 6 totem signs greater than 10 square feet.
428		•	ariance is requested, because the project proposed to exceed the allowable number and
429		-	e of signs. (See Sheet L603 and Sheet L300 for location and details regarding proposed
430		dditional site .	
431	<b></b>		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
432	Se	ection 115-7.1	B(2) of the Village of Lansing Code requires a maximum of 100 square feet of signage for a
433			
434	D	istrict, Tax Pa	rcel No. 46.1-6-5.1; and
435			
436	В	. On March	13, 2019, the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals held a public hearing regarding
437			n, and thereafter thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i) the materials and information
438		•	by and on behalf of the applicant(s) in support of this appeal, (ii) all other information and
439			rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or
440		otherwise	raised in the course of the Board's deliberations; and
441	_		
442	С		ry 26, 2019, in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation
443			State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR), and 6 NYCRR Section 617.5, the Village of
444 445		_	anning Board determined that the proposed action is an unlisted action, and thus may be
445		processeu	without further regard to SEQR; and
446 447	D	On March	13, 2019, in accordance with Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and
447	D		Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1), the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals, in the
449			its deliberations, took into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the area variance is
450		-	s weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or
451		_	y by such grant;

456

The Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals hereby makes the following findings with respect to the specific criteria for such area variance as set forth in Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1):

457 458 459

Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or detriment to nearby properties will be created by granting the area variance.

460 461

Finding: Yes

462 463 464

Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance.

465 466 467

Finding: Yes

468 469

Whether the requested area variance is substantial.

470 471

Finding: Yes

472 473

Whether the proposed area variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

475

474

Finding: No

476 477 478

Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created.

479 480

Finding: Yes

481

482 *2.* It is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals that the requested variance is **DENIED**:

483

485 The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

486 487

484

AYES: None

488

489 NAYS: Lynn Leopold, Patrick Gillespie, Roy Hogben, Simon Moll, and John Wisor

490

491 The motion was declared to be carried.

492 493

There was conversation during the reading of Appeal No. 2019-4; Leopold discussed the signage and 494 stated this proposal is way over the allowed amount and that too many signs can make it visually noisy 495 and complicated. Wisor agreed. There was conversation regarding the size and weight of the Nissan 496 sign. Snyder stated that every few years all dealerships go through branding exercises. He said the Nissan 497 sign would be a focal point. Leopold complimented on the looks of the building however asked why 498 there had to be so much signage. Snyder said that is a requirement of Nissan. There was discussion of 499 exterior and interior designs of the signage. Moll thought that just the Nissan Logo would be significant 500 and asked what constitutes a sign. Turner said that the Nissan sign is more of a canopy/overhang. 501 Gillespie asked if these are franchise requirements. Snyder said it was a Nissan requirement and asked if 502 it was the big signs that were the concern. Leopold said it is cumulative and they are going way over what is allowed. She said being only two buildings it should not be too confusing.

Appeal No. 2019-05, 25 Foot Front Yard Setback: Proposed Distance 0 Feet.

VILLAGE OF LANSING BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS RESOLUTION
ADOPTED ON MARCH 13, 2019 FOR APPEAL NO. 2019-5

510 Motion made by: Patrick Gillespie
511
512 Motion seconded by: John Wisor

#### 514 WHEREAS:

A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Appeal No. 2019-05, Maguire Family Limited Partnership of 504 South Meadow Street, Ithaca, New York, through its agent Saratoga Associates and George Turner, proposes to pursue a project for development of a 4-acre commercial property consisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac dealership and a new Nissan dealership to include construction of a two story, 25,235 sq. feet (gross floor area) sales and service building with associated parking, public water, sewer and electrical services, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, site lighting and signage, retaining wall, fence screening, landscaping and improvement to existing curb cuts for Maguire Nissan of Ithaca at Uptown Road and Cinema Drive; and proposes on this appeal as follows:

According to The Village Zoning requirements for the front yard parking setback requirement according to the zoning data for development in CHT Commercial High Traffic District is 25 feet from the front property line. The proposed project layout does not meet this minimum distance required. The proposed layout provides a front yard setback of 0 feet. Therefore a variance is requested for not providing an adequate front yard parking setback. Because the project is located within a commercial corridor that is zoned for commercial business, there would be no significant visual impact to the character of the neighborhood. (See sheet L300 for parking setback dimensions.)

Section 145-43E(7)a of the Village of Lansing Code requires a minimum of 25 feet to the road right of way. The property is located at 35 Cinema Drive in the Commercial High Traffic District, Tax Parcel No. 46.1-6-5.1; and

B. On March 13, 2019, the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals held a public hearing regarding such action, and thereafter thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i) the materials and information presented by and on behalf of the applicant(s) in support of this appeal, (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board's deliberations; and

C. On February 26, 2019, in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR), and 6 NYCRR Section 617.5, the Village of Lansing Planning Board determined that the proposed action is an unlisted action, and thus may be processed without further regard to SEQR; and

D. On March 13, 2019, in accordance with Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1), the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals, in the course of its deliberations, took into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the area variance is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant;

555 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

556			
557	1.	The Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals hereby makes the following findings with respect	
558		to the specific criteria for such area variance as set forth in Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State	
559		of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1):	
560			
561		Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or detriment	
562		to nearby properties will be created by granting the area variance.	
563			
564		Finding: No	
565			
566		Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant	
567		to pursue other than an area variance.	
568			
569		Finding: No	
570			
571		Whether the requested area variance is substantial.	
572			
573		Finding: Yes	
574			
575		Whether the proposed area variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or	
576		environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.	
577			
578		Finding: No	
579			
580		Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created.	
581			
582		Finding: Yes	
583			
584		2. It is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals that the following variance	
585		is <b>GRANTED AND APPROVED</b> (with conditions, if any, as indicated), it being further determined that	
586		such variance is the minimum necessary and adequate to grant relief and at the same time preserve	
587		and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community:	
588			
589		Description of Variance:	
590			
591		To reduce the front yard parking setback 25 feet from the front property line.	
592			
593		<u>Conditions of Variance</u> :	
594			
595		Install wheel stops along the parking area.	
596	<b>-</b> ,		
597	The vot	e on the foregoing motion was as follows:	
598	AVEC	Committee and Destrict Citizenia Devillantas Cinzan Mallined Late Milana	
599	AYES: I	ynn Leopold, Patrick Gillespie, Roy Hogben, Simon Moll and John Wisor	
600	MAYC.	None	
601 602	NAYS:	NUTIE	
603	The mo	tion was declared to be carried.	
604	1116 1110	tion was accidica to be carried.	
-			

There was conversation during the reading of Appeal No. 2019-5; Leopold stated for long term planning the Village is considering putting in more sidewalks and expressed the concern of Uptown Road. There

was conversation regarding setbacks to sidewalks and the concern of damaging cars if parked too close to a sidewalk while snowplowing or any type of maintenance.

610 Appeal No. 2019-06, 15 Foot Side Yard Parking Setback: Proposed Distance is 2 Feet.

609

611 612

650

# VILLAGE OF LANSING BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS RESOLUTION ADOPTED ON MARCH 13. 2019 FOR APPEAL NO. 2019-6

613 614		ADOPTED ON MARCH 13, 2019 FOR APPEAL NO. 2019-6
615 616	Motion made by:	Roy Hogben
617		
618	Motion seconded by:	Simon Moll
619 620	WHEREAS:	
621	WITERLAS.	
622	A.	This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Appeal No. 2019-06,
623		Maguire Family Limited Partnership of 504 South Meadow Street, Ithaca, New York, through
624		its agent Saratoga Associates and George Turner, proposes to pursue a project for
625		development of a 4-acre commercial property consisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac
626		dealership and a new Nissan dealership to include construction of a two story, 25,235 sq.
627		feet (gross floor area) sales and service building with associated parking, public water, sewer
628		and electrical services, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, site lighting and signage,
629		retaining wall, fence screening, landscaping and improvement to existing curb cuts for
630 631		Maguire Nissan of Ithaca at Uptown Road and Cinema Drive; and proposes on this appeal as
632		follows:
633		According to The Village Zoning requirements for the side yard parking setback for
634		development in CHT - Commercial High Traffic District is 15 Feet from the side property line.
635		The proposed project layout does not meet this minimum distance required. The proposed
636		layout provides a side yard setback of 2 feet, therefore, a variance is requested for not
637		providing an adequate side yard parking setback. Because the project is located within a
638		commercial corridor that is zoned for commercial business, there would be no significant
639		visual impact to the character of the neighborhood. (See sheet L300 for parking setback
640		dimensions.)
641		
642		Section 145-43E(7)b of the Village of Lansing Code requires a minimum of 15 feet to the
643		road right of way. The property is located at 35 Cinema Drive in the Commercial High Traffic
644		District, Tax Parcel No. 46.1-6-5.1; and
645	В.	On March 13, 2019, the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals held a public
646	5.	hearing regarding such action, and thereafter thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i)
647		the materials and information presented by and on behalf of the applicant(s) in
648		support of this appeal, (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the
649		Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in

the course of the Board's deliberations; and

651	
652	C. On February 26, 2019, in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental
653	Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR), and 6 NYCRR
654	Section 617.5, the Village of Lansing Planning Board determined that the proposed action is
655	an unlisted action, and thus may be processed without further regard to SEQR; and
656	
657	D. On March 13, 2019, in accordance with Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New
658	York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1), the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning
659	Appeals, in the course of its deliberations, took into consideration the benefit to the
660	applicant if the area variance is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health,
661	safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant;
662	
663	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:
664	
665	1. The Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals hereby makes the following findings with respect
666	to the specific criteria for such area variance as set forth in Section 712-b of the Village Law of the
667	State of New York and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1):
668	
669	Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or
670	detriment to nearby properties will be created by granting the area variance.
671	Finding: No
672	
673	Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the
674	applicant to pursue other than an area variance.
675	
676	Finding: No
677	
678	Whether the requested area variance is substantial.
679	
680	Finding: Yes
681	
682	Whether the proposed area variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or
683	environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.
684	
685	Finding: No
686	
687	Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created.
688	Whether the uneged alfibratly was self-created.
689	Finding: Yes
690	Tinding, 163
	2. It is bounded determined by the Williams of Lourism Dougle f Zening Associate that the following
691	2. It is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals that the following
692 693	variance is <b>GRANTED AND APPROVED</b> (with conditions, if any, as indicated), it being further
693 694	determined that such variance is the minimum necessary and adequate to grant relief and at the
694 695	same time preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community:
696	weijale of the community.
697	
55,	

698 699	Des	scription of Variance:
700	To	reduce the side yard parking setback from 15 feet to 2 feet.
	101	educe the side yard parking setback from 15 feet to 2 feet.
701 702	The water are the A	
	The vote on the j	foregoing motion was as follows:
703		
704	AYES: Lynn Leop	old, Patrick Gillespie, Roy Hogben, Simon Moll, and John Wisor
705		
706	NAYS: None	
707		
708	The motion was	declared to be carried.
709		
710	Anneal No. 20	19-07, 15 Foot Rear Yard Parking Setback: Proposed Distance is 5 Feet.
711	Appear No. 20.	19-07, 13 Foot Real Taru Larking Setback. Troposed Distance is 3 Feet.
		VILLAGE OF LANCING BOARD OF TONING ADDEALS DESCRIPTION
712		VILLAGE OF LANSING BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS RESOLUTION
713		ADOPTED ON MARCH 13, 2019 FOR APPEAL NO. 2019-7
714		
715	Motion made by	: John Wisor
716		
717	Motion seconded	d by: Patrick Gillespie
718	W/UEDEAC.	
719 720	WHEREAS:	
721	۸	This markless involves consideration of the following prepared action. Appeal No. 2010.07
721	A.	This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Appeal No. 2019-07, Maguire Family Limited Partnership of 504 South Meadow Street, Ithaca, New York, through its
723		agent Saratoga Associates and George Turner, proposes to pursue a project for development of a
724		4-acre commercial property consisting of an existing Chevrolet Cadillac dealership and a new
725		Nissan dealership to include construction of a two story, 25,235 sq. feet (gross floor area) sales
726		and service building with associated parking, public water, sewer and electrical services,
727		pedestrian and vehicular circulation, site lighting and signage, retaining wall, fence screening,
728		landscaping and improvement to existing curb cuts for Maguire Nissan of Ithaca at Uptown Road
729		and Cinema Drive; and proposes on this appeal as follows:
730		
731		According to The Village Zoning requirements for rear yard parking setback requirement
732		according to the zoning data for development in CHT Commercial High Traffic District is 15 Feet
733		from the rear property line. The proposed project layout does not meet this minimum distance
734		required. The proposed layout provides a rear yard setback of 5 feet. Therefore, a variance is
735		requested for not providing an adequate rear yard parking setback. Because the project is located
736		within a commercial corridor that is zoned for commercial business, there would be no significant
737		visual impact to the character of the neighborhood. (See sheet L300 for parking setback
738		dimensions.)
739		
740		Section 145-43E(7)c of the Village of Lansing Code requires a minimum of 15 feet to the road
741		right of way. The property is located at 35 Cinema Drive in the Commercial High Traffic District,
742		Tax Parcel No. 46.1-6-5.1; and
743		On March 12 2010 the Village of Impairs Percel of Zeries Assessed held a 12 12
744 745	В.	On March 13, 2019, the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals held a public hearing
745 746		regarding such action, and thereafter thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i) the materials and information presented by and on headly of the applicant(s) in support of this appeal, (ii) all other
740		information presented by and on behalf of the applicant(s) in support of this appeal, (ii) all other

747 information and materials rightfully before the Board, and (iii) all issues raised during the public 748 hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board's deliberations; and 749 750 C. On February 26, 2019, in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental 751 Conservation Law - the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR), and 6 NYCRR Section 752 617.5, the Village of Lansing Planning Board determined that the proposed action is an unlisted 753 action, and thus may be processed without further regard to SEQR; and 754 755 D. On March 13, 2019, in accordance with Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York 756 and Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1), the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals, 757 in the course of its deliberations, took into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the area 758 variance is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the 759 neighborhood or community by such grant; 760 761 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS: 762 763 1. The Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals hereby makes the following findings with respect to the specific criteria for such area variance as set forth in Section 712-b of the Village Law of the State of New York and 765 *Village of Lansing Code Section 145-74 A(1):* 766 767 Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or detriment to nearby 768 properties will be created by granting the area variance. 769 770 Finding: No 771 772 Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue 773 other than an area variance. 774 775 Finding: No 776 777 Whether the requested area variance is substantial. 778 779 Finding: Yes 780 781 Whether the proposed area variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental 782 conditions in the neighborhood or district. 783 784 Finding: No 785 786 Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created. 787 788 Finding: Yes 789

3. It is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Board of Zoning Appeals that the following variance

is GRANTED AND APPROVED (with conditions, if any, as indicated), it being further determined that

such variance is the minimum necessary and adequate to grant relief and at the same time preserve

and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community:

**Description of Variance**:

790

791

792

793

794 795

796 797

To reduce the rear yard parking setback from 15 feet to 5 feet.

798 799 **Conditions of Variance:** 800 801 The southeast corner of the Maguire Family Limited Partnership's property will be graded and cleaned 802 appropriately 803 804 The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows: 805 806 AYES: Lynn Leopold, Patrick Gillespie, Roy Hogben, Simon Moll and John Wisor 807 808 NAYS: None 809 810 The motion was declared to be carried. 811 812 There was conversation during the reading of Appeal No. 2019-7; Leopold expressed concern regarding the southeast corner of the property where there is debris and will make that a condition to have that area cleaned up. Turner said he has not seen the area where there is debris and asked if it was on the Gaslight Village property. Schleelein said it looked as if it was on the gravel parking lot area. 815 816 817 **Approval of Minutes:** 818 819 August 15, 2018 820 Wisor moved to accept the minutes of August 15, 2018, Seconded by Gillespie; 821 Ayes by: Leopold, Gillespie, Hogben, Moll, and Wisor. 822 Nays: None: 823 824 **Adjournment:** Gillespie moved to adjourn at 8:52 PM. Seconded by Moll. 825 826 Ayes by: Leopold, Gillespie, Hogben, Moll, and Wisor.. 827 Minutes taken by: Tammy Milliman, PT Clerk 828 829 830 831