1	Village of Lansing
2	Planning Board Meeting
3	Minutes of Monday
4	December 14, 2020
5	
6	The meeting of the Village of Lansing Planning Board via Zoom was called to order at 7:01 PM by
7	Chair, Lisa Schleelein.
8	
9	Present at the meeting:
	Planning Board Members: Mike Baker, Carolyn Greenwald, James McCauley, Monica Moll, Lisa
11	Schleelein
12	Alternate Member: Anthony Ingraffea
	Village Legal Counsel: William Troy
	Village Engineer: Brent Cross
15	Village Trustee Liaison: Randy Smith
16	Village CEO: Michael Scott
17	Thage CEO. Wiender Scott
18	Ronny Hardaway, Olga Petrova, and Eric Goetzmann; Drew and Rachel Riedl represented by Joshua
19	Stafford and Shawn Ritchie from Finger Lakes Tram; Mike Gavin and Ken Farrall representing the
20	Shops at Ithaca; David and Steve Beer were present
21	shops at timea, David and Steve Beef were present
22	Approval of the Minutes
23	Moll moved to approve the minutes of October 27, 2020 as written. Baker seconded.
24	Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll, and Schleelein.
25	Nays: None
26	14435. 140116
27	Public Comment Period:
28	With no one wishing to speak, McCauley moved to close the public hearing. Seconded by Baker.
29	Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll and Schleelein.
30	Nays: None
31	114/5. 110116
32	Schleelein read the following from the agenda:
33	beineerem read the ronowing from the agenda.
	Continue Public Hearing of Subdivision #2020-4402
•	
35	The Shops at Ithaca Mall, represented by Michael J. Gavin of Gavin Law, is seeking approval
36	from the Planning Board for a subdivision of Tax Parcel 42.1-1-22. The applicant is proposing to
37	subdivide tenant spaces in order to offer them for sale to existing and perspective tenants/affiliated
38	investors/owners.
39	
40	Scott reviewed the Mall subdivision along with noting that the County had answered the GML-239
41	with no further recommendation and declared no negative impact. Scott also suggested that the
42	Planning Board declare lead agency for the SEQR if they were going to review the short EAF
43	provided by Ken Farrall in the near future. There was discussion about whether the BZA should do
44	the SEQR. Schleelein feels that the Planning Board is better suited to be lead agent because of the

45 history and information already provided.

46

47 Greenwald moved to declare the Planning Board as lead agency for the SEQR process. Moll 48 seconded.

49

- 50 Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll, and Schleelein.
- 51 Nays: None

52

Scott will notify the BZA of this decision when the Mall representatives apply for their needed variances. Scott continued by letting Farrall know that the BZA will be dealing with an issue tomorrow night (12/15/20) and that Scott would set up the next BZA meeting in January to hear the Mall appeals. Scott updated the Planning Board on what was discussed at the last Planning Board meeting about the Mall.

58

59 Troy is currently reviewing ECCR information provided by Mike Gavin with regard to the public 60 water and sewer.

61

Cross reviewed the recent history of the stormwater design of the Mall. The performance of the system is not being changed for any construction purpose, rather making sure the system is functioning as per its design. This would be a matter of making sure the system is maintained properly. Cross is satisfied with moving the subdivision process along as long as there is some documentation and/or communication on how to keep the stormwater functioning properly.

67

Farrall said, as the video revealed, there were some rocks within the large stormwater piping running east and west under the parking lot near Dick's. These rocks, although not impeding the flow, will be removed.

71

Next, the swale running along the southern border of the property, which is used for stormwater overflow, will be cleared out of approximately 18" of rip rap, which was severely slowing the flow of water potentially causing the parking lot to flood.

75

Lastly, Farrall agreed to clean out and possibly remove the vertical piping located near the walkway leading to the residential area to the west. While Cross was inspecting that area, he discovered that the (2) 15" pipes transferring water from the north to the south were being choked off by this vertical stand pipe which was full of sediment. If this adjustment does not solve the issue of parking lot and residential flooding, Farrall would be open to installing an additional (2) 15' pipes. Farrall believes that the 3 remedies described will go a long way to resolving any future stormwater issue at The Shops of Ithaca. Farrall also explained that future projects, like the new hotel, would be required to use the swale for their stormwater runoff plan so as to not burden the underground piping with additional volume.

85

Scott agreed with Cross about possibly moving forward with the subdivision process. Cross questioned if the BZA could officially take regulatory action on the variance appeals if the Planning Board has not reviewed the SEQR. There was more discussion about this. Scott said that at the last meeting, moving the appeal process forward was fine as long as Farrall and Gavin knew that it was at their own risk. Scott believes that because of the quantity of appeals, the BZA process would not be over until after the Planning Board has reviewed the SEQR. Scott asked Troy if he thought the

Page **3** of 7

- 92 two could be run simultaneously. Troy said he does not see an issue and asked Gavin and Farrall if
- 93 they were ready for the BZA. Both said Yes. Troy recommends moving forward with both processes.

94

95 It was noted that many of the variance appeals are redundant.

96

- 97 Baker moved to close the public hearing for the preliminary plat. McCauley seconded.
- 98 Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll, and Schleelein.
- 99 Navs: None

100

101 Schleelein read the following from the agenda:

102

- 103 Public Hearing for Special Permit #2020-4438
- 104 Drew and Rachel Riedl, represented by Shawn Richie and Joshua Stafford from Finger Lakes
- 105 Tram, are requesting a special permit to allow a tram/lift to be installed on the cliff overlooking
- 106 *Cayuga Lake at 12 Esty Drive (Tax Parcel # 43.1-1-7).*
- 107 Schleelein introduced Ritchie and Stafford and reminded the Planning Board that these gentlemen
- 108 presented a similar project a year or so ago.

109

- 110 Scott said the last project was a tram built for Mr. Wang at 1510 East Shore Drive. Scott
- 111 complimented Ritchie and Stafford on their product. Scott offered to send Board members the video
- 112 he took for the Wang project. This proposal requires a special permit hearing because of its location
- 113 in the Unique Natural Area along with the Steep Slope Area. Scott also said he did receive the
- 114 mailing notifications and he turned in a GML-239 to the County.

115

- 116 Schleelein said that the Planning Board has the flora and fauna report and a short EAF which they
- 117 would review next meeting. Schleelein asked Ritchie and Stafford to provide a view or picture of
- 118 the finished product from the lake perspective.

119

- 120 Ritchie thanked the Planning Board for having them back and said their tram product is pretty
- 121 consistent as far as looks go. The Tram is not invisible but does blend in with the surroundings.
- 122 Ritchie said that this proposed tram will be much easier to install than the one at the Wang residence.
- 123 A picture of a typical tram was viewed on the screen. Schleelein was concerned about the erosion of
- the sediment under the tram because there is no ground cover. Ritchie said that during installation
- they generally disturb very little ground cover. Most of the slope at the proposed project is
- approximately 55 degrees. 126

127

- 128 Ingraffea asked about the right-of-way at the base of the tram with regard to the railroad. Ritchie
- explained that there is a 30' ROW that they will stay away from. 129

130

- Troy advised the Riedls to look into the railroad ROW and that this special permit does not have
- anything to do with permission to cross the tracks. 132

133

Schleelein asked if anyone had any other questions. 134

135

136 Scott said if he heard from the County before the next meeting, he would pass any information on.

Page 4 of 7

Schleelein said that the Planning Board would review the EAF at the next meeting on 12/29.

138

139 Schleelein read the following from the agenda:

140

## 141 Public Hearing for Special Permit # 2020-4439

- 142 David Beer, from Beer Properties, LLC, is requesting a special permit to allow for a private
- garage to be built on a lot (Tax Parcel #45.2-1-47.201) located between Millcroft Way and Craft
- 144 Road.
- 145 Scott said that the project is located in the Medium Density Residential District and is not classified
- as a residential building as per the definition. The proposed project requires a special permit because
- it is classified as a site improvement that results in a change in applicable parking. The application
- does not require County input.

149

- 150 Ingraffea asked Beer why he was building the garage. David Beer stated that he needs the building
- to store equipment used to maintain the 40+ acres of land there. Ingraffea asked what the building
- had to do in relation to the proposed future cluster subdivision of that property. Beer said when the
- 153 future development happens, that building would be used for maintenance and storage of lawn
- mowers, excavation equipment, and materials. 154

155

- 156 Schleelein asked if the building is temporary. Beer stated that the building could be temporary but
- that is not the intent. Schleelein then said the building looks to be a Quonset Hut. Beer said it is.
- Schleelein believes the building is unattractive for a residential area and, although she understands
- 159 the need, she feels it will not be received well. Baker agreed.

160

- 161 McCauley asked if there have been other major projects within the Village where the developer
- essentially built a commercial garage while they were implementing a project. McCauley also agreed
- that the building is unattractive. Scott said that the Bomax Drive project used a temporary structure
- 164 to store equipment but that development had already been approved. Schleelein was concerned
- where this building was located with respect to the proposed subdivision and the residential homes.
- 166 Ingraffea agreed and added that he remembered seeing a subdivision plan showing a clubhouse but
- does not remember it being in that area. Also, how does Beer know if the proposed building is not 167
- being built between 2 future lots. Beer stated that the building is currently laid out on an existing parcel with all of the proper setbacks. The garage is sited so as to overlay onto one of the proposed
- 170 future subdivision lots that was approved on the preliminary plat. Beer is looking to use the same lot
- to build a greeting center for the subdivision development. That lot is ideal because it is close to the
- entry at Craft Road. The proposed building would also have evergreens planted around it. Beer
- continued to defend the esthetics of the steel building. Beer also pointed out other similar buildings 173
- within the Village. 174

175

- 176 Schleelein would like to see how this building is going to work with the subdivision. Beer stated that
- Hunt Engineering is currently working on the final subdivision plat but won't have anything ready
- until at least January. Beer would like to install this building before the ground freezes and said if
- the building goes in and somehow it does not fit in with the final approved plat, then we can talk
- 180 about removing it.

Page **5** of 7

- 181 McCauley asked Scott if the temporary structure for the Bomax project was erected at the time the
- 182 project construction started. Scott said yes. Schleelein asked about the storage of fuel and/or
- 183 chemicals. Beer said just everyday products for a tractor or lawn mower. Ingraffea asked why this
- structure is necessary now when it was absent from the preliminary plat. Beer stated that from the
- beginning, there was a structure presented that would be used for the purpose we are now asking for.
- Baker said he hopes that this structure is not characteristic of the type of rental buildings being
- proposed for the subdivision. If it is not, then Baker does not feel that this type of structure belongs 187
- there. He hopes that whatever buildings are within this development, they will complement each
- 189 other.

190

This structure will be 15' high and remain the galvanized color. 191

192

193 Schleelein said that given the landscaping and the first parcel in the proposed development of the garage will be buffered from Lansing Trails. Beer said yes.

195

- 196 Moll does not have a problem with the structure and feels it would disappear behind that buffer.
- Moll also thinks it is beneficial that the owner is maintaining the property and is more apt to keep it
- picked up rather than an outside company. Schleelein said that the building is not that big and could
- be taken down if necessary.

200

202

201 The proposal will need a short EAF because it is an unlisted action.

203

- Before a cluster subdivision can be approved, the existing parcels would have to be consolidated.
- After the new subdivision, the proposed building would be on a parcel that would be maintained by
- 205 the newly formed HOA. Beer indicated that there would still be 42 available building lots.

206

- 207 Cross asked about the amount of land that would be disturbed during construction if this special permit were to be approved. Cross also recommended that this information be required before the
- 209 issuance of a building permit. Scott agreed and said he would require a "Notice of Ground
- 210 Disturbance" to be filled out at the time of the building permit application which would include the
- 211 proper information for a SWPPP determination.

212

- 213 The proposed building would have water and sewer. Scott reminded the Planning Board that
- 214 incorporating this proposed garage into the future cluster subdivision is not the Village's problem;
- that responsibility is on the owner. Also, if the subdivision does not happen and the existing lots are
- sold separately, there may be a need for some future easements. 216

217

- 218 Greenwald stated that she does not want an "industrial" look in that area or have the local residents
- drive by and wonder why this building is in our neighborhood. 219

220

221 Schleelein would like to see some pictures of similar buildings.

222

- 223 There was a question about the building placement as related to the future subdivision infrastructure.
- Beer stated that water, sewer, and electric for the subdivision certainly won't be put in this year and
- possibly not for a couple of years. There has been a culvert pipe installed for an entrance from Craft
- 226 Road.

Page **6** of 7

228 Schleelein read the following from the agenda:

229

227

## 230 Informal Discussion about Lansing Meadows Amended Special Permit #2019-4123

- 231 Eric Goetzmann, from Arrowhead Ventures, LLC, will be updating the Planning Board on the
- 232 progress of the residential area B of the Lansing Meadows Planned Development Area (PDA)
- 233 *located on Oakcrest Road (Tax Parcel Nos. 47.1-1-17.2 and 47.1-1-17.6).*
- 234 Goetzmann reviewed the history of the project. Building has been delayed significantly because of
- 235 the Covid-19 issues. Sewer is mainly done and the public water is being worked on. Goetzmann is
- 236 hoping to finish underground work and install the base of the road system before the ground freezes.
- 237 Schleelein asked Scott if the amended special permit needed to be renewed because of the deadlines
- 238 not being met in the conditions. Scott said that a special permit does not need to be renewed for 3
- 239 years. Scott recommends that the Planning Board establish new deadlines with some type of
- 240 repercussions if not met this time. Schleelein asked the Planning Board for some suggestions for
- 241 extending the deadline.

242

- 243 The Planning Board reviewed the conditions of Amended Special Permit #2019-4123. Moll asked
- 244 Goetzmann what he thought was a reasonable deadline at this point. Goetzmann said that they are
- 245 working as fast as possible and intend on having people move in late June or July of 2021 if not
- 246 sooner. Schleelein suggested a one-year extension and asked Goetzmann where the IDA stands on
- 247 this. Goetzmann has been in touch with the chairman of the IDA and they are in tune with whatever
- 248 the Planning Board decides.

249

252

- 250 Greenwald asked what options the Village has for penalizing this project if the new deadlines are
- 251 not met. Greenwald suggested that there be a monetary penalty.
- 253 Schleelein said she drives by the site often and even in the good weather months, she did not see
- 254 much activity. Schleelein suggested Goetzmann come back to the Planning Board every other month
- 255 (February and April) for a progress update. Moll asked about the sidewalk escrow. The amount of
- 256 the escrow has already been decided by the Board of Trustees. Goetzmann believes the escrow for
- 257 the sidewalk would need to be established before any Certificate of Compliance could be issued.
- 258 Some Planning Board members believe the money should be in the escrow now.

259

- 260 Scott suggested that a condition be added voiding the existing special permit if the new deadlines
- 261 are not met. This would require the owner to start the special permit process over. Schleelein and
- 262 Troy agree. Scott will work on revising the language of the conditions for Amended Special Permit
- 263 #2019-4123 for the next meeting.

264

## **265 Other Business to Report**

- 266 Schleelein recognized Dan Veaner of the Lansing Star for his long-time service of excellence. Dan
- 267 will be retiring at the end of the month.

268

269 The BZA will be meeting tomorrow night to hear the appeals from The Council.

Village of Lansing Planning Board Minutes of Dec. 14, 2020 Page **7** of 7

270	
271	Moll feels that a committee should be establish to look through the Village zoning code. It was
272	suggested that the sub-committee consist of members from each Village Board. Troy suggested
273	looking into codes from other municipalities of the same size. Schleelein added that she will look
274	into the Planning Federation.
275	
276	Trustee Report
277	Most Planning Board members attended the December 7 Trustee meeting. Baker thanked Hardaway
278	for highlighting the concerns the Planning Board had on the local law for Lansing Meadows. Baker
279	also complimented the Board of Trustees for their thorough work on the matter.
280	
281	Adjournment:
282	Schleelein asked for a motion to adjourn at 9:24 PM. Moved by Baker. Seconded by Moll.
283	Ayes: Baker, Greenwald, McCauley, Moll, and Schleelein.
284	Nays: None
285	Minutes taken by: Michael Scott, CEO
286	
287	

288