1 Village of Lansing 2 Planning Board Meeting 3 March 13, 2017 4 5 6 The meeting of the Village of Lansing Planning Board was called to order at 7:01PM by Chairman Mario 7 Tomei. 8 9 Present at the meeting were Planning Board Members: Mike Baker, Deborah Dawson, John Gillott, and 10 Lisa Schleelein; Alternate Member, Carolyn Greenwald; Code Enforcement Officer, Adam Robbs; Former Code Enforcement Officer, Marty Moseley; Village Trustee Liaison, Gerry Monaghan; Village 11 12 Attorney, David Dubow; Village Engineer, Brent Cross; Trustee, Ronny Hardaway; Matt Moore, Passero 13 Associates; Ahmed Ahmed and Hussni Muhammed, Al-Huda Islamic Center; and Jack Baker, American 14 Homes. 15 16 Absent: None 17 18 **Public Comment Period** 19 Tomei opened the public comment period. Hardaway suggested that going forward a goal for all board 20 meetings would be to have attendees sign in. With no one else wishing to speak, Dawson moved to close 21 the public comment period. Seconded by Gillott; Ayes by Tomei, Baker, Dawson, Gillott, and Schleelein. 22 23 Tomei indicated the order of the agenda items was changed. 24 25 Classification Possible Consideration for Alteration to an Existing Special Permit: #4006 26 or 5 and 13 Cinema Drive 27 Matt Moore of Passero presented a revised plan for the parking garages at the 5 and 13 Cinema 28 Drive/Triphammer Apartments, changing from (2) double-loaded garages with a possible third garage in the future for a total of 30 garage spaces, to (3) single-loaded garages with a total of 16 garage spaces. This change 29 30 would amend the total number of added spaces to 18 rather than the 32 originally proposed. The amendment 31 means there will be no need to relocate any water mains and will reduce the total impervious area and create 32 more green space. 33 34 Board members asked about the lighting plan and possible need for additional lighting to ensure safety, possibility of any future plans to add another garage, and the desire to see more landscaping. Moore indicated 35 36 there are no plans to build any additional garages. Moseley reminded Moore of the need to submit any lighting 37 specifications and changes to the Lighting Commission for approval. 38 39 Cross asked about drive aisle width. Moore indicated there would be no change to the 21'/22' width. 40 Cross also raised the issue of the storm water management plan. He indicated that he feels the green swale is 41 not enough and asked that an additional feature be incorporated into the plan. Moseley mentioned that the 42 Village local law requirement is to have two practices utilized for storm water management. Cross requested 43 that an additional storm water practice be made a condition of any resolution. 44 45 Based on the discussion, the Board agreed that the change to the existing special permit is a minor 46 change. Dawson moved that the proposed change be classified as a minor amendment. Seconded by 47 Baker; Ayes by Tomei, Baker, Dawson, Gillott, and Schleelein. Nays: None 48 49 Dawson moved to accept the alteration to the special permit subject to the applicant agreeing to add another

storm water feature in addition to the green swale, such feature to be approved by the Village Engineer.

Seconded by Baker; Aves by Tomei, Baker, Dawson, Gillott, and Schleelein. Navs: None.

50

51

Possible Consideration for Alteration to an Existing Special Permit: #2895 for the Al-Huda Islamic

53 Center proposed for 112 Graham Road

Tomei informed the Board that the BZA approved the two variances for the Center—25' front yard and 10'-12' side yard parking setback. It was noted that the only concern voiced by the BZA was the close proximity of the side yard driveway to the adjacent apartments and the need to be sure the apartments are shielded in some way with natural buffering or a fence. Ahmed indicated a fence on the plan and Tomei suggested that it not go all the way to Graham Road to ensure visibility for cars coming out of the Center.

Several members voiced their approval of the proposed landscaping.

The proposed amendment was classified as a minor change at a previous meeting. Therefore, with the BZA variance approval, the only outstanding item is the storm water management plan.

Moseley indicated he had met with Cross, Ahmed, and Robbs regarding storm water management. The American Homes engineer will work with Cross to ensure the storm water practices for the site are compliant.

Schleelein moved to accept the change with the condition that a storm water management plan is provided to Cross for quality control approval. Seconded by Gillott; Ayes by Tomei, Baker, Dawson, Gillott, and Schleelein. Nays: None.

Consideration and Possible Recommendation for changes to the Lansing Meadows Planned Development Area

Moseley explained the request from Arrowhead Ventures as Eric Goetzmann was not able to attend the meeting. Arrowhead is requesting that part of the eastern most part of the residential parcel (Parcel A) be changed to a commercial classification. One cited objective of the request is to provide a buffer between Ciao! and the residential units. The change was presented by Goetzmann to the Board of Trustees at their March 6th meeting. At that meeting, the Trustees determined the change to be a minor change but referred the request to the Planning Board for a recommendation.

Dawson asked if it was necessary to give Arrowhead anything.

 Schleelein said that the history of the BJ's portion of the PDA was about repeated minor changes that ultimately could be summed up as major change. Her main concern is that there is no plan from Arrowhead for the residential buildout so without the total picture and total plan the Board should not be asked to make a decision about this change. Schleelein also stated she feels this is a major, not a minor, change.

Dubow raised a possible option of creating a new A-1 parcel as part of the PDA, with the restriction that the development of the A-1 parcel would require a special permit with additional conditions-- such as restricted access to Oakcrest Road. There was a brief discussion about possible types of commercial entities that would be suitable for the area if an A-1 parcel were to be created.

Dawson reminded everyone that the PDA was a trade-off to define Oakcrest as residential area and that any buffering should be between BJ's and the residential portion not between Ciao! and the residential portion. She said she felt no change should be made.

Greenwald asked what the objective of the change would be. In general the Board felt the objective must ultimately be financial in nature.

102 103	Gillott answered that Goetzmann has not provided the Board with what we are getting except for indicating he wants a commercial piece to be carved out of the residential portion.
104 105	Members again brought up the lack of a total plan.
106 107 108	Baker felt it unlikely that there isn't a plan, and Tomei concurred.
109 110 111 112	Hardaway indicated that he was not aware of some of the concerns raised by the Planning Board when the Trustees classified the change as minor change and perhaps the Planning Board could provide the Trustees with the additional information discussed at the next Trustee meeting. Monaghan agreed.
113 114 115	Dubow reminded the Board that there are specific uses for commercial that need to be consistent with the area. The Board indicated that without additional information it cannot approve or disapprove.
116 117 118	Dubow told the Board members that they have the right to recommend this is a major not a minor change to the PDA, or the option to recommend no change to the Trustees.
119 120 121	Greenwald asked why Goetzmann went to the Trustees before coming to the Planning Board with the request.
122 123	Moseley explained that the legislative board starts the process in such cases.
124 125 126	After further discussion about the need for a plan and an understanding of what might be appropriate if there were to be a commercial carve out, it was agreed that it was premature to consider possible uses until all of the information regarding the site plan is received.
127 128 129 130	Cross brought up questions about storm water and also noted that the subject parcel could accommodate additional residential units rather than a commercial establishment.
131 132 133 134 135	Gillott moved to recommend that the requested change be classified as a major change and that no further determination to change the PDA be considered until a complete and comprehensive site plan is provided by Arrowhead; Seconded by Dawson. Ayes by Tomei, Baker, Dawson, Gillott, and Schleelein. Nays: None.
136 137 138	Approval of Minutes None
139 140 141 142	Trustee Report Gillott reported on the Trustee meeting of March 6, 2017. For a complete report of the meeting please see the Trustee minutes.
143 144	Other Business
145 146 147	Adjournment Gillott moved to adjourn at 8:41PM. Seconded by Baker; Ayes by Tomei, Baker, Dawson, Gillott, and Schleelein.